CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

07 February 1984

CHANGE

CONSEQUENCES

General General

Expand scope to include all automated information processing systems.

Raises questions of feasibility of managing consolidated effort. Within the Agency, OC is responsible for telecommunications and the automated systems used in support of telecommunications. ODP and OS/ISSG are responsible for the security of the remainder of the automated information processing systems.

2.c,7b 2,c&d

Adds provision for the Government to formulate strategies and measures for providing protection for "systems which handle nongovernment information the loss of which could adversely affect the national interest or the rights of U.S. persons..." Explicit responsibilities and mechanisms to implement this policy are not provided, but would devolve to Director. NSA.

The propriety of this goal, and its pursuit by a military agency, are legal issues which should be explored by the Attorney General.

p.

4.a(4) No Ref

Empowers Steering Group to approve consolidated resource program and budget proposals for national telecommunications and information systems security. Restructures budget review process for these areas, with significant impact on DCI role for NFIP and on department and agency head authorities to set priorities.

NSC review

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

WARNING NOTICE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED

Approved For Release 2007/07/25 : CIA-RDP87B01034R000700080044-3

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

CONSEQUENCES CHANGE Delegates to NSA the Assigns to Director, NSA No Ref 7.g authority to review the the responsibility to Agency program and re-"Review annually the source requirements and systems security program and resources recritique/approve our plan-NSA has requested quirements of the dening. information from the partments and agencies Agency and the Department of the government, and of State on our planned prepare consolidated deployment of KG-84's "to National Telecommunicaensure that there is no tions and Information duplication." NSA can be Systems Security Proexpected to be aggressive gram Budget recommendain this area. tions. ODP recommended that 5.(b)(3)NTISSC to "approve the managed be changed to sensitive systems "sponsored" so that the security information, DCI could release material techniques and materials that might be in the to foreign governments Agency's interest even if or international organia project is not under the zations (except in indirect control of the DCI. telligence activities This was not changed. managed by the Director of Central Intelligence). This provision superseded the DCI's E.O. 12333 authorities to prescribe policies for and coordinate foreign intelligence relationships (except for DDO operations). Makes Sec Def Executive Considering the rapid 6 4c expansion of word process-Agent for automated ing, makes Sec Def systems security as well as for Telecommunica-Executive Agent for all Government information tions Security. Expands his executive agent role processing. to cover all electronic information, not just "national security" in-

- 2 -

formation as before.

CONFIDENTIAL

CHANGE

CONSEQUENCES

25X1 7 No Ref

Under the NCSC, chaired by the Asst Sec Def for Communications Command, Control and Intell; was established as a national ComSec framework for the conduct of ComSec activities within the Government. NSA was a voting member of the NCSC and the charter functions of NSA were clearly defined. NSA was a coequal with nine other regular members of the NCSC. With the chairmanship of the NCSC at the Asst Sec Def level NSA could not unduly influence national standards or priorities.

The Director, NSA is designated as the National Manager for Telecommunications and Information Systems Security and is responsible for carrying out the responsibilities of the Sec Def as Executive Agent.

Under the proposed NSDD the Director, NSA will have a predominant role in determining the future of telecommunications and automated information systems utilization within the Government. The designation of Director, NSA as the National Manager for Telecommunications and Information Systems Security should be stricken from the proposed NSDD.

6,7g No Ref

Empowers Sec Def to
"procure for and provide to government
agencies, and where
appropriate, to private
institutions (including
Government contractors)
and foreign governments,
equipment and other
materials."

Department and agency heads with delegated authority, would lose the right to procure computers and word processors. Centralized procurement would make it very difficult to meet schedules and individual agencies requirements. NSA will have oversight and budget approval/disapproval authority.

7.b No Ref

Empowers Director, NSA to develop and approve "all standards, techniques, systems and

Entire Government must use Director, NSA specified standards, techniques, systems and equipment.

- 3 -

CONFIDENTIAL

			CHANGE	CONSEQUENCES
	equipment related to cryptography, ComSec and trusted computer and automated information systems.			
	7.e	No Ref	Empowers Director, NSA to perform all Government sponsored R&D for telecommunications and and information systems.	Eliminated such roles for CIA (ISSG, OC and ORD), DOE, Bureau of Standards, GSA and others.
25X1	7b,8a	4 g	Removes authority of heads of departments and agencies to organize and conduct their communications security and emanations security activities as they see fit, and vests this responsibility with Director, NSA.	In CIA, for example, this removes OC/COMSEC and OS/ISSG missions.
	7.b	No Ref	Empowers DIRNSA to conduct liaison with foreign governments and international organizations.	Impacts formal and informal roles of DCI, State Department and Commerce Department in many relationships.
	7.d	No Ref	Empowers Director, NSA to assess and dissemi- nate information on hostile threats to telecommunications and automated information systems.	Remove analysis missions from CIA and DIA such as technology transfer and Soviet technology.
	7.d,8.c	Oblique 3.f	The proposed NSDD is very specific on threat assessments and tasks heads of departments	The exceptions under paragraphs 9a and 10.b are not adequate to resist Director, NSA tasking to

-4-

Ž.

CONFIDENTIAL

Ž.

CHANGE

CONSEQUENCES

and agencies to provide any information requested by Director, NSA to determine the vulnerability of telecommunications and automated information systems. provide sources and methods information necessary to conduct the threat assessment.

9 No Ref

Requires the DCI to coordinate with the Steering Group, NTISSC and the Director, NSA, as appropriate, concerning unique requirements pertaining to the protection of intelligence sources and methods.

This is in direct conflict with the statutory authority of the DCI to protect sources and methods information.

12 3.d.e.f

The original provided for "a permanent interagency group under the chairmanship of Sec State...to review and if necessary to deny real estate acquisitions through lease or purchase by the USSR and other Communist countries that present a potential serious threat to U.S. telecommunications security. All foreign government leased or owned facilities in this country should be evaluated as to their possible use for intercept operations."

The very important missior25X1

and function of this in-

The revised NSDD stipulates an interagency polilcy coordination committee under Sec

-5-

CONFIDENTIAL

CHANGE

CONSEQUENCES

State..."It shall provide policy guidance for implementation by the Office of Foreign Missions... on proposals for foreign real estate acquisitions, by lease or purchase, that present a security threat to U.S. telecommuniations and automated information systems or are of counterintelllgence interest."

£

-6-